

Minutes of the August 17, 2021 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting (Quorum not Present)

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: not present

IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter, Neil Patterson

Oracle: Ed Bratt, Will Lyons, Dmitry Kornilov

Payara: Not present

Red Hat: Scott Stark, John Clingan

Tomitribe: Cesar Hernandez

Enterprise Member representative: Not present

Participant member representative: Not present

Committee member representative: Not present

There was not a quorum present.

(Quorum is 5 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: Paul White, Paul Buck, Shabnam, Mayel, Ivar Grimstad

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings - deferred due to lack of quorum

We will review minutes of the July 20 meeting and August 3 meeting next time.

Objectives Review - Reminder of action items, please review spreadsheet for your items

- Review, adjust and redefine Q3 objectives that we set out early this year.
 - The [2021 Jakarta EE Program Plan - by quarter](#) presentation and
 - The [corresponding Q3 2021](#) tab in the spreadsheet
- Follow-up items from last time:
 - Cesar Hernandez to check in w/ David progress and expectations regarding “Advance Implementation Neutrality” objectives - no update
 - If we do not have a plan by end of Q3 for pursuing this, I prefer to drop this topic
 - Paul Buck has followed up w/ Neil and Shabnam to request updates to the “Drive Jakarta EE Brand, Awareness and Adoption” objectives
 - Is “Content for Jakarta EE as a reliable, trusted platform for End-User Innovation” a Q3 objective - no update
 - Paul Buck has followed up with Martijn on “Enable Ecosystem Transition to jakarta namespace” objectives

- There are a number of objectives listed as dependent on a “lead” (see xls)
 - these are being pursued
 - Will Lyons/John Clingan to define a specific goal for Technical Jakarta EE/MicroProfile alignment forum and plan (e.g. Core Profile, Config) - John has called a meeting for this time next week (August 10).
 - Meeting notes to define a list of principles - early slide deck
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Q3h9dNKSw9xIsCU3ZNPSzzzHhi8Rii50zq7SrTVGA-k/edit#bookmark=id.lp1axvhoofqb>
 - Meeting to be scheduled for next week
 - Open question about what are next steps on messaging
 - Will Lyons to prepare a specific proposal for a meeting of all Working Group members in September.

Jakarta EE 2021 Developer Survey Update - FYI

- [Initial Jakarta EE 2021 Developer Survey findings:](#)
- Quotes to send out with a press release are due today.
- Schedule moving to release date of Sept 14, will expect member support to amplify
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1LV-_U5KEOq6M5T28wEj_Dd2ZmUcnsLWvQv0jIDeJDHg/edit#gid=599163621

Jakarta EE 10

- Plan reviews
 - <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/labels/plan%20review>
 - Had reached out to component spec teams whether a release review date of Oct 15 would be achievable.
- Jakarta EE Core Profile Creation and Plan review
 - <https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/349>
 - This (and relationship to Platform release) was a significant topic of review at Platform team and will continue to be.
- As of August 17:
 - Release plan under ballot starting last Thursday (August 12). Covers Full Platform and Web Profile. Core Profile will be balloted separately.
 - Red Hat will drive the EE 10 release (Scott is designated release lead)
 - We are targeting Q1 CY2022 GA (per the release plan), which will require that all individual component specifications be released by Q4 CY2021.

Proposal on [Developer badging / certification](#) - Neil Patterson

- See minutes from June 8 meeting on this topic:
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/16AwgivXpavMhc8wF2iEFDjGMSD-zyvnJO6mrVDH2pQQ/edit>

- Question was raised regarding who would do the creation of the tests and content - e.g. by volunteers or by existing committees or spec project teams?
 - Neil: Assume there would need to be contributions on a volunteer basis (but cannot be a public project)
- Next steps from July 20 meeting - any updates:
 - Define and scope a MVP
 - Neil set up a call on this topic last week, per marketing meeting minutes, to explore technical aspects and pricing issues with third-party. August 5 Meeting minutes provided below.
 - [Link to minutes](#) - Scroll down to the August 12th minutes
 - Define success criteria
 - Paul W has defined next steps for this in an email sent just before this meeting
 - Paul will also provide a budget update next time

Patent License Option

- On August 3 there was a tie vote on the following resolution (see August 3 meeting minutes):
 - Resolved, that the Jakarta EE Working Group Steering Committee has selected the “Compatible Patent License”, as defined in the Eclipse IP policy, as the default Patent License option to be used in Jakarta EE specifications created using the Jakarta EE Specification Process.
- Per discussion, Will contacted the Eclipse Foundation for suggestions on how to proceed and will do this in the Steering Committee alias.
- Several suggestions have emerged:
 - From Paul Buck: “As noted, a tie means the resolution that was voted on in the August 3rd Steering Committee meeting did not pass with the required simple majority. This doesn’t necessarily mean there is an impasse. Rather, as a next step at the next meeting, the Steering Committee can proceed to vote on an option to adopt the Implementation Patent License option as their default. Here is a proposed resolution:
 - Resolved that the Jakarta EE Working Group Steering Committee has selected the “Implementation Patent License”, as defined in the Eclipse IP Policy, as the default Patent License option to be used in Jakarta EE specifications created using the Jakarta EE Specification Process.”
 - From Scott Stark: “We would suggest that a new resolution for the Implementation Patent license be put forth that contains a rider to look into how the concern over compatible implementation can be addressed via more carrot-like offerings.”
 - From Kevin Sutter: “Do we have to have a default patent license? Why can't this selection just be a required item when creating the project (and not provide a

default)? This would force the people creating the Project to understand and select the proper patent license based on their needs and wants. It would also be very clear when we're voting on the Project Creation as to which Patent License was selected. Could this approach be a suitable compromise?"

- Dan suggested we pursue the options indicated, in the order listed. He noted that Kevin's suggestion may require EFSP changes, or modifications of changes that are currently being proposed in the draft update to the EFSP. So this option would need to be contingent on implementing these changes. There was consensus on this approach.
- Would like to ensure that when we vote we have strong representation of members. We will encourage members to attend the meeting, OR assign their vote by proxy in a public manner to another SC member.

Acquire New Working Group Members

- A Q2 objective is to "Identify ways to find potential new members".
- Paul reviewed a short [presentation](#) on June 22
- Kevin expressed interest in seeing pipelines.
- Questions were raised about whether we could do more for JUGs and cloud providers.
- A/I: Will volunteered to draft specific suggestions for group review
 - Will will do so for next meeting.

Jakarta EE Presence in Asia

- See the [short report](#) we discussed on the Steering Committee call on June 8.
- A/I: Committee members were requested to propose someone from their organizations, or from external organizations, who can help organize Jakarta EE activities and:
 - Speaks Chinese and English
 - Is local to the China timezone
- A/I: It was agreed we should hold a meeting with Chinese members to hear directly from them what would be most helpful to them in promoting Jakarta EE in China. Such a meeting would need to be moderated by someone who is bilingual.
 - No member has identified such a contact
- Will to follow up with Tanja on this after Labour Day

Elections are coming up

- Chair, committer members representative and participant member representative
- Paul Buck will identify the dates
- Discussion on charter documents:
 - Regarding the Jakarta EE Charter Document, SC members are encouraged to comment on issues or changes they may want to raise